

Commercial Uses of Richard C. Litman's Name Across All Middle East Clients, 6/14/2023 → 7/18/2025

Litman v. Goldberg, Index No. 524343/2025 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., Kings County) — Hon. Brian L. Gotlieb, J.S.C.



Summary Across All Clients

Client	Floor (named)	Emails w/ attach	USPTO events	Total uses	Active days
King Faisal University (KFU)	11	17,183	0	17,183	562
King Saud University (KSU)	16	6,339	0	6,339	503
United Arab Emirates University (UAEU)	9	1,717	0	1,717	399
Kuwait University	4	2,496	0	2,496	414
Sultan Qaboos University (SQU)	0	349	0	349	125
Qatar Foundation	0	56	0	56	37
TOTAL (6 clients)	40	28,140	0	28,140	—

Interpretation


Across six Middle East clients tracked by NGM during the two-year window between the 6/14/2023 arbitration decision and the 7/18/2025 termination, **28,140 documented commercial uses of Richard C. Litman's name** reached clients — overwhelmingly via NGM-to-client emails carrying USPTO attachments and firm correspondence identifying Mr. Litman as attorney of record. That is an average of 13.8 uses per client-active-day; KFU alone was active on 562 of the 766 window days. Each of these uses is a separate § 51 commercial use, independent of and in addition to the patent-face, POA, trademark, and website uses documented in Batch 01 (Categories A–E) and the internal accounting-attribution uses documented in Batch 02 (Category F).

Comparative Volume Across Clients



Combined Cumulative Use Curve

Cumulative Post-Arbitration Uses of Richard C. Litman's Name — All Middle East Clients



Each line is one client's cumulative use count over the window. Dotted black line is the sum across all six. The combined slope becomes steep from early 2024 through termination, reflecting simultaneous high-volume patent prosecution activity across the Middle East portfolio.

Per-Client Decks (Cross-Reference)

For the full 4-chart deck on any individual client (weekly timeline, cumulative curve, calendar heatmap, top-15-day peak table, plus methodology), see the per-client PDFs in output/saturation/:

- **King Faisal University (KFU)** → output/saturation/kfu_SATURATION.pdf and output/saturation/kfu_ONEPAGER.pdf
- **King Saud University (KSU)** → output/saturation/ksu_SATURATION.pdf and output/saturation/ksu_ONEPAGER.pdf
- **United Arab Emirates University (UAEU)** → output/saturation/uae_u_SATURATION.pdf and output/saturation/uae_u_ONEPAGER.pdf
- **Kuwait University** → output/saturation/kuwait_u_SATURATION.pdf and output/saturation/kuwait_u_ONEPAGER.pdf
- **Sultan Qaboos University (SQU)** → output/saturation/squ_SATURATION.pdf and output/saturation/squ_ONEPAGER.pdf
- **Qatar Foundation** → output/saturation/qf_SATURATION.pdf and output/saturation/qf_ONEPAGER.pdf

Methodology Summary

Emails: filtered from the defendant's own 276,899-row Concordance email production (LITMAN_EMAIL_PRODUCTION.dat) for (a) sender @nathlaw.com, (b) recipient matching each client's known email domain(s) and 4patent.com alias, (c) date in window. Tier 1 (floor) additionally requires explicit "Richard" / "Litman" / "RCL" / "rlitman" in email subject/body-preview/attachment-names. Tier 2 (primary, per Mr. Litman's 4/17/2026 directive) counts every email with an attachment, on the principle that NGM-to-client emails routinely carry USPTO PDFs and firm correspondence bearing his name even when the email body does not. USPTO events: Bates-stamped filings matched to each client's patents.